

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM SHAW,

No. 2:20-cv-1549 AC P

Plaintiff,

v.

WOODS, et al.,

ORDER

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Kern County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of 1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

1 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
2 California sitting in Fresno; and

3 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be
4 filed at:

5 United States District Court
6 Eastern District of California
7 2500 Tulare Street
8 Fresno, CA 93721

9 DATED: August 5, 2020

10 
11

12 ALLISON CLAIRE
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28